

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/502,699	02/11/2000	Michael F. Grant	583-1028	5542	
23644 7:	590 06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG			MEHRA,	MEHRA, INDER P	
P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2666		
		DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/502,699	GRANT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Inder P. Mehra	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma	ay 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/11/00,and 6/28/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	-,					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to application dated: 7/2/04. Based on this amendment, claims 1-46 are pending. Applicant's amendment to claim 39 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5, 9, 11, 14, 18-19, 21, 24-26, 29, 31-32, 34, 40, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Kamm et al** (US Patent No. 5,457,680), hereinafter, Kamm.

For claims 1, 9, 18, 24-25, 31, 40, 41 and 43 Kamm discloses, in reference to figs. 1 and 1A, a communication system comprising a core network (data communication network) coupled over multiple isolated connections to a plurality of distribution gateways Home (mobile data gateway) each providing network access capability to local data devices (mobile data radio terminals SU-1 through SU-3 and mobile voice telephone units) serviceable thereby, at least some of the plurality of distribution gateways interconnected through communication resources

Art Unit: 2666

(backbone 102 in fig. 1A), refer to col. 3 lines 40-45 and wherein said interconnected distribution gateways each includes:

- control functionality (CPU120 in fig. 1A) arranged to administer access to the core network (data communication network 100) through securing network access capability using the interconnected distribution gateways, as recited by claim 40, (refer to 104 and 204 in figs. 1 and 1A) via at least one of the multiple isolated connections (T1 in fig. 1, refer to fig. 1A), refer to col. 5 lines 55-65.
- as amended in claims 1, 9, "wherein a first of the gateways accesses the core network via an isolated connection to a second of the gateways when an isolated connection to the first gateway is unable to support access to the core network", (this limitation is disclosed by Kamm, refer to col. 10 lines 39-49 and col. 26 lines 50-60, wherein reallocation of route via second base station is made if the current strength of signal is poor).
- Interconnecting at least some of the plurality of distribution to provide communication paths there between, as recited by claim 18, refer to 102 in figs. 1 and 1A.
- code arbitrates interconnection of the home-gateway with at least one further home
 gateway connectable to the core network, as recited by claim 31, refer to figs. 1 and
 1A;
- wherein the codes reside in a computer readable medium, as recited by claim 31, refer to CPU120 in fig. 1A, refer to col. 5 lines 64-66.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,699 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

the consolidation function is operable having regard to congestion conditions on the multiple isolated connection, as recited by claim 42, refer to col. 12 lines 40-45, col. 12 lines 49-51;

• "encrypting communications between an associated device and the core network", as recited by claim 43, refer to col. 21 lines 50-55.

For claims 2, 11, 19, 26 and 32, Kamm discloses the communication system of claim 1, as above, wherein the control functionality operates according to at least one of:

- a bandwidth-driven requirement (If mobile data gateway determines –additional bandwidth is required---or does not warrant as many channels----add or delete), refer to col. 12 lines 56-61;
- a fault driven basis to secure access to the core network (determines if third the third signal measurement data is better than the first signal measurement data and second signal measurement data and if so----allocates with the server mobile data gateway, a new channel at the third base station-----), refer to col. 3 line 55 through col. 4 line 3.

For claims 5, 14, 21, 29, 34 and 43, Kamm discloses, "encrypting communications between an associated device and the core network", refer to col. 21 lines 50-55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2666

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 12, 27 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over

Kamm et al (US Patent no. 5,457,680), hereinafter, Kamm in view of Mahalingaiah et al (US Patent No. 6,654,346), hereinafter, Mahalingaiah.

For claims 3, 12, 27 and 42, Kamm discloses all the limitations of subject matter, as above in paragraph 7, with the exception of the following limitations:

- wherein the control functionality includes a prioritization function (as recited by claim 42) that secures a guaranteed minimum bandwidth for communication with network for associated data equipment,.
- Mahalingaiah discloses, "wherein the control functionality includes a prioritization function that secures a guaranteed minimum bandwidth for communication with network for associated data equipment, refer to col. 6 lines 8-16.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of prioritization function. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Kamm and Mahalingaiah at the Home media gateway. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to use the shared resources.

6. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over **Kamm et al** (US Patent no. 5,457,680), hereinafter, Kamm in view of **Bilger et al** (US Patent No. 6,317835), hereinafter, Bilger.

For claim 43, Kamm discloses all the limitations of subject matter, as above in paragraph

Art Unit: 2666

3, including "encrypting communications between an associated device and the core network", as recited by claim 43, refer to col. 21 lines 50-55 with the exception of the following limitations, which are disclosed by Bilger as follows:

- sending data packets between a data device, associated with a first distribution gateway, and the network in an un-encrypted form across a direct isolated connection existing between the network and the distribution gateway, (refer to "Encryptive touch controller toggles between encryption and non-encryption mode. In encryption mode, processor encrypts data associated with detected coordinates, and transmits the encrypted data to a remote processor. In non-encryption mode, the processor transmits the data to the remote processor in an unencrypted format", refer to col. 1 lines 25-62, and
- sending encrypted data packets between the data device and the network across an indirect isolated connection existing between the network and a second distribution gateway interconnected to the first distribution gateway (refer to "Encryptive touch controller toggles between encryption and non-encryption mode. In encryption mode, processor encrypts data associated with detected coordinates, and transmits the encrypted data to a remote processor. In non-encryption mode, the processor transmits the data to the remote processor in an unencrypted format", refer to col. 1 lines 25-62,

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of encryption of data to indirect isolated connection. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Kamm and Bilger at the

Art Unit: 2666

Home media gateway. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to use the shared resources.

7. Claims 4, 13, 20, 28, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kamm** as applied to claims 1, 9, 18, 24, 31 above, and further in view of **Shionozaki** (US Patent No. 6,496,479).

For claims 4, 13, 20, 28, and 33, Kamm discloses all the limitations of subject matter, as in paragraph 7 above, with the exception of the following limitation:

 wherein at least some of the isolated connections are point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line communications;

Shionozaki discloses, "wherein at least some of the isolated connections are point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line communications", refer to col. 8 lines 4-7, col. 7 lines 40-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of using point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Kamm and Shionozaki at the Home media gateway. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide digital communication to the subscribers at high speed.

8. Claims 6-8, 10, 15-17, 22-23, 30, 35-36 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over **Kamm**, as applied to claims 1, 9, 18, 24 and 31 above, in view of **Davis et al** (US Patent No. 6,167,389), hereinafter, Davis.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,699 Page 8

Art Unit: 2666

For claims 6-8, 10, 15-17, 22-23, 35-36 and 38-39, Kamm discloses all the limitations of the subject matter with the exception of the following limitations, intermediate node:

- an optical transceiver for converting optical communications into electrical impulses,
 as recited by claims 6 and 15; and
- a transceiver coupled to a plurality of distribution points whereby the plurality of
 distribution points acquire access to the core network via the optical fiber, as recited
 by claims 6 and 15.
- "wherein the communication resources interconnecting -----include at least one of RF channel resources, optical connections, LAN (recited by claim 30), and wire line connections, as recited by claims 7, 16, 22, 30, 35 and 38;
- "a billing center coupled to the core network, the billing center configured to generate and record varying levels of charges for access to the core network in response to use by a distribution gateway and the core network, as recited by claims 8, 17, 23, 36 and 39.
- where the control functionality provides a routing function for broadband communications between a plurality of interconnected distribution gateways, as
 recited by claim 10

Davis discloses the following limitations:

an optical transceiver for converting optical communications into electrical impulses, as recited by claims 6 and 15; refer to 40a, 40b and 40c in fig. 1 and col. 5 lines 18-25 and

Art Unit: 2666

- a transceiver coupled to a plurality of distribution points whereby the plurality of distribution points acquire access to the core network via the optical fiber, as recited by claims 6 and 15, refer to fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 6 lines 51 and 64.
- "wherein the communication resources interconnecting -----include at least one of RF channel resources, optical connections and wire line connections, as recited by claims 7, 16, 22, 35 and 38; refer to col.4 line 44.
- "a billing center coupled to the core network, the billing center configured to generate and record varying levels of charges for access to the core network in response to use by a distribution gateway and the core network, as recited by claims 8, 17, 23 and 36, refer to col. 6 lines 15-28.
- recording the varying level of charge in a database for subsequent billing purposes, as
 recited by claims 36 and 39, refer to col. 6 lines25-28.
- where the control functionality provides a routing function for broadband communications between a plurality of interconnected distribution gateways, as
 recited by claim 10, refer to col. 4 lines 38-40.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of optical fiber, RF channel, broadband and billing charges. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Kamm and Davis at the Home media gateway or subscriber's unit. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide digital communication to the subscribers at high speed (optical fiber).

Application/Control Number: 09/502,699 Page 10

Art Unit: 2666

9. Claims 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over **Kamm** in view of **Davis et al.** as applied to claim 36 above, further in view of **Shionozaki** (US Patent No. 6,496,479).

For claim 37, Kamm discloses all the limitations of the subject matter, with the exception of the following limitation:

 the isolated connections are point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line communications;

Shionozaki discloses, "wherein the isolated connections are point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line communications", refer to col. 8 lines 4-7, col. 7 lines 40-45.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of using point-to-point connections supporting digital subscriber line. The capability can be implemented by combining the system as taught by Kamm, Davis and Shionozaki at the Home media gateway. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to provide digital communication to the subscribers at high speed.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 44-46 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 3/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues "The routing, in Kamm, has nothing to do with whether the direct isolated connection (T1) between a gateway and the core network can support access to

the core network. In Kamm, tramc is always first routed to the home gateway (e.g. gateway 104) of a mobile. If signal strength measurements between a mobile and various base stations (B1-B3) in the system indicate that another base station offers a better wireless link to the mobile, then the traffic is forwarded to another base station. If the new base station is served by a different gateway, then this requires the home gateway to forward the traffic to another gateway serving that base station. At all times, traffic is always first routed to the home gateway of a mobile and the routing is based entirely on signal strength of the wireless link within cells served by B1-B3".

In response, it is stated that the poor signal strength is due to high signal to noise ratio, which means high error in communication, and therefore, is a fault in the communication path. In other words, the signal strength is weaker than threshold and is, therefore, an error rate being high. That channel cannot, therefore, be selected. Alternate path is selected, which is expressly disclosed by Kamm, refer to col. 10 lines 39-49 and col. 26 lines 50-60, as explained in paragraph 3, above.

Applicant, further, argues "In relation to claim 43, Examiner has continued to overlook that this claim requires that data packets are sent in an un-encrypted form across a direct isolated connection existing between the network and the distribution gateway and sent in an encrypted form across an indirect isolated connection existing between the network and a second distribution gateway. Consequently, claim 43 is not anticipated by Kamm.

Art Unit: 2666

In response, it is stated that Kamm disclosed the use of encrypted form of data, refer to col. 21 lines 50-55. However, Bilger discloses both encrypted and non-encrypted form of data, refer to "Encryptive touch controller toggles between encryption and non-encryption mode. In encryption mode, processor encrypts data associated with detected coordinates, and transmits the encrypted data to a remote processor. In non-encryption mode, the processor transmits the data to the remote processor in an unencrypted format", refer to col. 1 lines 25-62,

In light of above explanation, arguments by applicant are persuasive.

12. Applicant's amendment to claim 39 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2666

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Inder P. Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/8/05

Page 13

Examiner

Art Unit 2666